

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,427	11/30/2001		Roberto L. Quoiani	FMCE-P073	5491	
7590 12/01/2004				EXAM	EXAMINER	
Henry C. Quei			PICKARD, ALISON K			
504 S. Pierce Avenue Wheaton, IL 60187				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3676		
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/010,427	QUOIANI, ROBERTO L.				
omoc Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Alison K. Pickard	3676				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-9,14-18 and 20-27</u> is/are pend	ling in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-9,14-18 and 20-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		* •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
application from the International Bureau		o in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, ,,,	d.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/010,427

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 7-9, 14, 15, 18, and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duncan (5,735,344) in view of Rogen.

Duncan discloses a metallic seal component 29 (see title) in a bore having an undercut recess (seen best in Figure 2). The seal seals between an inner tube 14 and outer tube 11. The annular ring has first 31 and second 32 axially extending legs joined by a base. The legs comprise bumps 35 to engage the recess and other tube member. An annular member or energizing mandrel 41 is attached to the legs. As seen in the figure, the tip of the mandrel is less than or equal to the width of the gap between the legs. Duncan does not disclose that the metal seal or the mandrel is comprised of a shape memory alloy. Rogen teaches a metallic seal component in a bore. Rogen teaches the seal and the energizing mandrel are made from a shape memory alloy (NiTi). Rogen teaches the use of this material to provide a recoverable and reusable seal. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the seal and mandrel from shape memory alloy as taught by Rogen to provide a recoverable and reusable seal.

3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-9, 14-18, 20-22, and 24 are rejected-under-35-U-S-C-103(a) as being unpatentable over McCrone (4,658,847) in view of Rogen.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,427

Art Unit: 3676

McCrone discloses a bi-metallic seal component 10 in a bore having an undercut recess 38. The seal seals between an inner tube 14 and outer tube 26. The seal has a u-shape cross-section (and can be considered tubular) with first (near 15) and second (near 17) axially extending legs joined by a base. Inside layer 18 can be considered an energizing mandrel or a backup spring. Portion 30 could also be the backup spring. While McCrone discloses that the seal 10 expands upon being heated to form a metal-to-metal seal, McCrone does not disclose that the seal comprises shape memory alloy (such as those listed in Claim 9). Rogen teaches a metallic seal component in a bore. Rogen teaches the seal (and an energizing mandrel) are made from a shape memory alloy (NiTi). Rogen teaches the use of this material to provide a recoverable and reusable seal. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the seal (and mandrel) from shape memory alloy as taught by Rogen to provide a recoverable and reusable seal.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9-20-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that Duncan does not disclose a recessed sealing surface is unpersuasive. Duncan clearly shows a recess in the right hand side of Figure 2 (starting just above the port at 86 and ending near the number 38). Also, Applicant's argument that the other side (which is engaged by portion 33) is "stepped" and not "recessed" in unpersuasive. This portion is considered recessed. Applicant has not provided any disclosure or definition of "recessed" that distinguishes over this. The argument that the diameter of the bore above and below the recess is smaller is moot since it's not supported by the specification or in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,427

Art Unit: 3676

Page 4

Regardless, the portion of element 11 engaged by part 34 meets the limitation as argued by Applicant. McCrone also clearly discloses a recess.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

ΑP